

**REMARKS**

Applicant requests the Examiner to withdraw the objection to the drawings because, with the Amendment filed on **March 3, 2005**, there was enclosed a replacement formal drawing sheet **containing the labels** now required by the Examiner.

The above claim amendments merely correct minor spelling and grammatical errors in claims 5, 6 and 7.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 2-9 and 11-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Gehring '148 (newly cited).

Such a rejection requires that Gehring '148 describe, either expressly or inherently, each limitation of each of the rejected claims 2-9 and 11-19, or in other words, that each of claims 2-9 and 11-19 be readable on Gehring's disclosure. Applicant respectfully submits that clearly such is **not** the case here.

In this regard, Applicant's independent claims 5-7, 14-16 and 18 all require "at least **two** interfaces sharing the same communication means...", "**two** communication networks", and "one of the interfaces [being] a master interface relative to other fixed or mobile units or stations of the...network [associated with the master interface], and [the other] interface [being] a slave of the...network [associated with the slave interface]".

Gehring '148 shows (in Fig. 1) only a single communication network 10 and, within that single communication network, a master or base transceiver 12 which allocates non-interfering TDMA time slots to a plurality of slave stations 14a-14n.

Thus, since these independent claims 5-7, 14-16 and 19 are not readable on Gehring's disclosure, these claims **cannot be anticipated** by Gehring.

The remaining dependent claims recite additional limitations, and, therefore, by definition also are not anticipated by Gehring's disclosure.

With particular reference to dependent claims 8, 9, 17 and 18, Applicant respectfully submits that Gehring '148 does not disclose, either expressly or inherently, at least the limitation,

wherein said network associated with said master interface is a cordless telephone local area network including two or more mobile stations or cordless telephones, and said network associated with said slave interface is a cellular radio-frequency telecommunication network.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the objection to the drawings and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), and to find the application to be in condition for allowance with all of claims 2-9 and 11-19; however, if for any reason the Examiner feels that the application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to **call the undersigned attorney** to discuss any unresolved issues and to expedite the disposition of the application.

Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this application, and any required fee for such extension is to be charged to

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111  
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/865,721

Deposit Account No. 19-4880. The Commissioner is also authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and/or § 1.17 necessary to keep this application pending in the Patent and Trademark Office or credit any overpayment to said Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

/John H. Mion/

John H. Mion  
Registration No. 18,879

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213  
(202) 663-7901

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: February 1, 2006